

LECTURE

UNIVERSITÄT BERN

 $\boldsymbol{u}^{\scriptscriptstyle b}$

Unveiling bias: going beyond study types



Dr. Zayne Roa-Díaz Research support services Medical Library 01.11.2023 13:00, Zoom

Context

Evidence synthesis

All systematic reviews require risk of bias assessment be formally done of the included studies so that users of such research are cognizant of how much the results can be trusted.

Risk of bias assessment concerns about the *implications* of the methodological safeguards in the *study results*

 ${\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}}^b$ Tips for successfully leading your team in a systemic review

Key tools



1. Focuses on risk of bias



https://www.latitudes-network.org/

 b Tips for successfully leading your team in a systemic review ${oldsymbol U}$

Key tools



- 1. Focuses on risk of bias
- 2. Offers a method to reach either a domain specific or overall assessment of risk of bias
- 3. Used in at least one review that none of the tool authors were co-authors
- Tool development involving a range of stakeholders from different disciplines (e.g. methodologists, statisticians, clinicians)
- 5. Avoids recommending use of summary numerical quality scores

 $m{u}^b$ Tips for successfully leading your team in a systemic review



Home About ~ Library ~ Training ~

Validity assessment tools for evidence synthesis: your one-stop-shop



https://www.latitudes-network.org/

 $m{u}^b$ Tips for successfully leading your team in a systemic review



Home About \lor Library \lor Training \lor

Validity assessment tools for evidence synthesis: your one-stop-shop



Welcome to the LATITUDES Library of validity assessment tools

Q Find validity assessment tools	Cohort studies		~	Reset	
<u>Tool name</u>	ŧ	<u>Study types</u>			¢
ROBINS-E		Cohort studies			
ROBINS-I		Cohort studies			
Newcastle-Ottawa Cohort		Cohort studies			

https://www.latitudes-network.org/

$m{u}^b$ Unveiling bias: going beyond study types

Which tool should I use?



 ${old U}^b$ Unveiling bias: going beyond study types ${old U}^b$

ROBIS

Assessing the Risk Of Bias in Systematic reviews

- Interventions
- Diagnosis
- Prognosis
- Etiology

Phase 1		Phase 2				Phase 3
		1. Study eligibility criteria	2. Identification and selection of studies	3. Data collection and study appraisal	4. Synthesis and findings	Risk of bias in the review
Assess Relevance	Signaling	1.1 Did the review adhere	2.1 Did the search	3.1. Were efforts made	4.1. Did the synthesis	. Did the interpretation
(Research question - review)	questions				include all studies that it should?	of findings address all of the concerns identified in domains 1 to 4?
		:	:		:	
	Judgment	Concerns regarding specification of study eligibility criteria	Concerns regarding methods used to identify and/or select studies	Concerns regarding methods used to collect data and appraise studies	Concerns regarding the synthesis	lisk of bias in the review

^b Unveiling bias: going beyond study types ROB 2.0 Risk of Bias in randomized trials

The tool is structured into five domains (signalling questions) through which bias

might be introduced into the result:

1. Randomization process

U

- 2. Deviations from intended interventions
- 3. Missing outcome data
- 4. Measurement of the outcome
- 5. Selection of the reported result

^b Unveiling bias: going beyond study types **ROBINS-I Risk Of Bias In Non-randomized Studies - of Interventions**

U

Comprises seven domains for assessing bias in Non-Randomized Studies of Interventions (NRSI)

Pre-Intervention	At intervention	Post-intervention
 Confounding Selection of participants 	3. Classification of interventions	 4. Deviations from intended interventions 5. Missing data 6. Measurement of outcomes 7. Reported results

ROBINS-E

Risk Of Bias In Non-randomized Studies - of Exposures

Seven domains of bias:

- 1. Confounding
- 2. Measurement of the exposure
- 3. Selection of participants into the study (or into the analysis)
- 4. Post-exposure interventions
- 5. Missing data
- 6. Measurement of the outcome
- 7. Selection of the reported result

QUADAS-2

Risk of bias and Applicability Of Primary Diagnostic Accuracy Studies

QUADAS-2, consists of four domains (signalling questions)

- 1. Patient selection
- 2. Index test
- 3. Reference standard
- 4. Flow and timing

u^b Unveiling bias: going beyond study types PROBAST

Prediction Model Risk Of Bias Assessment Tool

Four domains with signalling questions:

- 1. Participants
- 2. Predictors
- 3. Outcome
- 4. Analysis

 b Tips for successfully leading your team in a systemic review ${\cal U}$

Other sources



CRITICAL APPRAISAL TOOLS

Analytical Cross Sectional Studies	+
Case Control Studies	+
Case Reports	+
Case Series	+
Cohort Studies	+
Diagnostic Test Accuracy Studies	+
Economic Evaluations	+
Prevalence Studies	+
Qualitative Research	+
Quasi-Experimental Studies	+
Randomized Controlled Trials	+
Systematic Reviews	+
Textual Evidence: Expert Opinion	+
Textual Evidence: Narrative	+
Textual Evidence: Policy	+



SYRCLE RoB tool	Animal studies
SANRA	General reviews
<u>RTI item bank – bias and precision</u>	Observational studies (mixed designs)
Prompts for appraising qualitative research	Qualitative studies
Newcastle-Ottawa Cohort	Cohort studies
Evidence Project risk of bias tool	Non-randomised studies of interventions Randomized controlled trials (RCT)
Drummond Checklist	Economic evaluations
Downs & Black Tool	Observational studies (mixed designs) Randomized controlled trials (RCT)
CHEC List	Economic evaluations
AXIS tool	Cross-sectional studies
Arrive	Diagnostic test accuracy (DTA) studies
EPHPP tool for quantitative studies	Observational studies (mixed designs)
<u>RCT-PQRSS</u>	Randomized controlled trials (RCT)
Boutron	Randomized controlled trials (RCT)

u^b Tips for successfully leading your team in a systemic review Conclusions

The right tool choice is crucial, and awareness of its limitations is essential. Especially if it's not explicitly designed for risk of bias assessment.

Latitudes Network provides helpful resources for risk of bias assessment tools.

All tools will guide you over three main topics



 b Tips for successfully leading your team in a systemic review ${oldsymbol U}$

Literature

Latitudes Network. https://www.latitudes-network.org/ [Consulted October 2023]

Ma LL, Wang YY, Yang ZH, Huang D, Weng H, Zeng XT. Methodological quality (risk of bias) assessment tools for primary and secondary medical studies: what are they and which is better?. Military Medical Research. 2020 Dec;7:1-1.

Furuya-Kanamori L, Xu C, Hasan SS, Doi SA. Quality versus risk-of-bias assessment in clinical research. Journal of clinical epidemiology. 2021 Jan 1;129:172-5.



Next Coffee Lectures, slides and screencasts:



http:// bib.unibe.ch/scimed

Research Support Services Science and Medical Libraries University Library Bern frnat.ub@unibe.ch support med.ub@unibe.ch



Now there is time for...

Questions & Discussion

Research Support Services Science and Medical Libraries University Library Bern frnat.ub@unibe.ch support med.ub@unibe.ch